

GFirst Local Enterprise Partnership

Board Paper – 25th February 2020

Agenda Item	Agenda item 8 - Local Growth Fund Programme Contingency Planning
(Title)	Agenda item o Local Growth Fund Frogramme Contingency Flamming
(Title)	
	Mall Calleton will Calleton Or Coules and
Paper Author &	Mally Findlater <u>mally.findlater@gfirstlep.com</u>
contact details	
Supporting Papers	Appendix 1 – Forward Look
	Appendix 2 – Spend Profile (commercially sensitive)
	Appendix 3 – Projects Summary
	Appendix 4 – LGF project level risks (commercially sensitive)
Confidentiality	Board paper, Appendices 1 and 3 – published
	Appendices 2 and 4 Commercially sensitive, confidential - not published
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Purpose	To make the Board aware of the contingency planning process that is employed
. a. pose	to manage any risks associated with the delivery of the Local Growth programme.
	Specifically, those associated with meeting programme level commitments to
	Government.
	Government.
Summary	The Growth Deal programme is in the penultimate year. Many projects have been
Julilliary	delivered on time and to schedule. As we approach the final year, there is a clear
	imperative to maintain this success by close and careful management of the
	processes associated with taking projects through all stages of approval, funding
	and delivery.
	In the LED annual performance review (ADD) with Covernment the LED team and
	In the LEP annual performance review (APR) with Government the LEP team and
	Accountable Body provided very detailed information on how all risks associated
	with individual projects that could impact on the programme are managed by the
	Programme Management Group (PMG). In this forum, contingency planning is
	undertaken where all possible risks are raised and reviewed, and mitigating
	action taken. This has worked well through the programme as it has ensured that
	early interventions are taken.
	This activity is minuted following the PMG meetings, and close communication
	between meetings ensure that actions are completed and communicated.
	However, it was suggested that a single document to consolidate this in the form
	of a contingency plan would improve efficiency and provide a useful summary for
	Government.
	The Programme management group monitors and manages the projects using:
	- The Forward Look (Appendix 1) to ensure projects progress on time

	 The Spend profile – Appendix 2 (commercially sensitive) to ensure the funds are out turned in line with the Government allocation The Project Summary - Appendix 3 to monitor the outputs compared to contractural commitments to Government in the Growth Deal LGF project level risks - Appendix 4 - (commercially sensitive) to monitor all potential risks that would impact on delivery by project For Government, a detailed contingency plan has been produced that outlines any current issues, their potential impact on all aspects of delivery and the mitigating actions that could be taken. There is a strong focus on the impact on the contractual commitments to Government, but also the wider impacts on
	 growth in the county. Examples include: Reviewing any potential underspend resulting in a reallocation exercise. The contingency planning establishes a timeline and process that will ensure the reallocated funds are spent within programme Reviewing any other potential delays to projects actually spending the funds within programme and having robust contingency plans in place that are acceptable to Government
	The contingency plan will be used as a live document, and a central reference point for PMG, shared with Government through our local area lead (Karen Leigh).
	The first draft of this plan has been shared with Ruth Dooley, in her role as risk champion, who has provided very useful feedback. It is recommended that the Board delegate the responsibility to Ruth to review the contingency plan as it continues to develop, to provide advice, and to inform the Board if she identifies any areas of note or concern.
	The expectation is that the discussion on this agenda item will focus on the process for contingency planning and not go into the specific detail of individual projects, unless a Board member has a particular interest or concern which they would like to be addressed.
Implications, impacts or risks	The implication of NOT managing these project level risks are that Gloucestershire fails to deliver the LGF programme as well as expected, which would have an impact on Growth in the county, and also could impact on future investment funding from Government.
Decision required	No Decision required
Recommendations	The Board supports the process for contingency planning and delegates responsibility to Ruth Dooley (risk champion) to regularly review the contingency plan.

For further information about any points raised in this Board paper, please contact Mally Findlater: mally.findlater@gfirstlep.com