

Meeting Minutes (Written Procedure)

| Item | GFirst Delivery Plan 2019-20 – LEP Board approval | |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Data /time /venue | Provintion procedure dated 1st May 2010 | |
| Date/time/venue | By written procedure dated 1st May 2019 | |
| Circulation | All Board Members: Diane Savory (Chair), Adam Starkey (deputy Chair), Mark Hawthorne, Stephen Jordan, Jenny Raymond, Mike Warner, Rob Loveday, Neill Ricketts, Deborah Potts, Jim Grant, Ian Mean, Russell Marchant, David Owen (ex-officio). | |

| No. | Item | Action | Ву |
|-----|--|--------|----|
| | Written Procedure: GFirst Delivery Plan 2019-20 – LEP Board approval | | |
| | Background | | |
| | A written procedure GFirst Delivery Plan 2019-20 – LEP Board approval was issued to the Gfirst LEP Board on 01.05.19. The paper was | | |
| | accompanied by a draft version of the GFirst LEP Delivery Plan for 2019. | | |
| | Government, in collaboration with the LEP Network, require all LEP's to | | |
| | publish a delivery plan for the financial year 2019/20 by the end of May 2019. | | |
| | This is intended to provide an overview of all the key activities that GFirst is engaged in, which will contribute to ongoing success. The paper highlighted | | |
| | the importance of the document as accurate and useful, as it will help to frame the ongoing work of both the Board and GFirst staff. | | |
| | It is expected that the delivery plan will provide a basis for the annual conversation between Government and the LEP. | | |
| | The paper highlighted any significant implications, issues or risks of concern to the board. | | |
| | The procedure allowed 5 working days for board members to respond, or | | |
| | chose not to respond, which would be taken as approval (as stated in the written procedure). | | |
| | Action for written procedure: | | |
| | The Board was asked to approve (or not) the GFirst LEP Delivery Plan 2019/20 | | |
| | Decision: | | |
| | Of the 13 board members, four responded with a positive confirmation of | | |
| | approval. The remaining Board members did not respond, and as stated in the paper, this has been taken as approval by default. Therefore the | | |
| | delivery plan has been approved unanimously by the Board. | | |
| | M. Findlater 09.05.19 | | |